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The Editor
BMC Medical Research Methodology

Regarding paper for consideration for publication:
Using reflexivity to enhance in-depth interviewing skills for the clinician researcher.

Dear Editor,

Thank you for considering our paper for publication in BMC Medical Research Methodology. This paper is a methodological discussion arising chiefly from the work of Ruth McNair during her research for her PhD. Ruth takes full responsibility for the content of the paper, which is the original work of all three authors.

We have responded to all of the suggestions made by the two peer-reviewer comments. These revisions included making the focus on reflexivity clearer throughout by adjusting the title, abstract and body of the paper. We have defined reflexivity and reciprocity in the background section. We moved the quotation that had been in the final section into the discussion section and improved the English language in a few sentences. We added two quotations that demonstrate improved interviewing skills. Finally, we have added a summary box to the final section.

Yours sincerely

Ruth McNair
Angela Taft
Kelsey Hegarty