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Reviewer's report:

Minor essential revisions:

The authors should state the purpose of their study at the end of the Background section of the Abstract

Discretionary Revisions:

Page 8, third paragraph:

Something has been left out of the first sentence of this paragraph.

Page 9, second paragraph:

I'm not sure that the fact that Andy Oxman developed both the scale for judging the methodologic quality of meta-analyses and the Cochrane Handbook is necessarily a limitation.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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