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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editors

Thank you for your email regarding formatting requirements. Changes to the text are detailed below:

1) Country is now included in the corresponding author details.
2) We have now referenced these using Refman as required. However we note we have had difficulty in previous version with a mismatch between how they appear in our submitted word document, and how they appear when the document is uploaded and the references converted. I hope this is now resolved.
3) Review Methods has been renamed ‘Methods’.
4) Box 1 and 2 have been renamed and reproduced as Table 1 and 2, references to them in the text altered and they have been moved to after the references.
5) Jos Kleijnen has been removed from Authors’ contribution has been removed and his role in obtaining funding mentioned in acknowledgements.
6) Table 1 has been renamed Table 3 and moved to after the references. Table footnotes have been incorporated into the text directly beneath the table itself.
7) Reference list has been changed to References.

Thank you very much for you support in the production of this paper, we are delighted it has been accepted for publication.

Yours faithfully

Helen Roberts