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Reviewer's report:

General
The authors have extensively revised this paper, trying to address all of the reviewers comments. Its almost there, but there are several style issues that need to be addressed:

------------------------------------------------------------------------
Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
None

------------------------------------------------------------------------
Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
1. The paper seems to have become longer and less to the point. In parts it rather loses the plot. I think this is because the authors have tried TOO hard to cover all the comments made.
- It needs a redraft by one of the senior authors to 'tighten up' and reduce length. Its difficult to be specific on this, but the authors will know what I mean if they sit and read it through.
2. In places the English style is poor, stilted and sometimes ungrammatical. It should be read and revised by a fluent English speaker.

------------------------------------------------------------------------
Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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