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Robin Stewart  
*BioMed Central*  
Tel: +44 (0)20 7631 9921  
Facsimile: +44 (0)20 7631 9923  
e-mail: editorial@biomedcentral.com  
Web: [http://www.biomedcentral.com/](http://www.biomedcentral.com/)

Dear Robin Stewart,

RE: MS: 2074229135763322: **Reviewer agreement trends from four years of electronic submissions of conference abstracts**  
Brian H Rowe, Trevor L Strome, Carol Spooner, Sandra Blitz, Eric Grafstein and Andrew Worster  
BMC Medical Research Methodology

Thank you for your e-mail dated March 3rd, 2006 regarding the need to revise the above titled manuscript. We have reviewed the minor comments provided and are submitting the following final revision of the manuscript to the Editors. The reviewer comments were specifically addressed in the attached COMMENTS LETTER and changes made in the corresponding sections of the manuscript. None of the final changes in the manuscript are highlighted in **bold** print as in previous submissions.

We would like to thank *BioMed Central* for the continued feedback on this manuscript. We hope that the changes we have made to the manuscript and the response to the reviewer’s questions complete this review process.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Brian H. Rowe, MD, MSc, CCFP(EM), FCCP  
Canada Research Chair in Emergency Airway Diseases  
Professor and Research Director, Department of Emergency Medicine  
University of Alberta

*Department of Emergency Medicine*  
Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry
COMMENTS LETTER

Title & Abstract - Please ensure these are identical in the manuscript and in the submission system.
Response: They are identical.

Author list - The list of authors in the manuscript should be written exactly as they are in the submission system. The authors (forename, initial (if any), surname) should be written identically and in the order in which they are listed in the submission system.
Response: As far as I can tell, they are.

References - References should be cited in the text using consecutive normal case numbers in square brackets e.g. [1]. Please do not use superscript numbers.
Response: The superscript numbers are removed and have been replaced with square brackets (e.g. [1]).

Website title - This should be replaced with the name of the website ie. "9. Canadian Association of Emergency Physicians [http://www.caep.ca/]"
Response: This change has been made.