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Reviewer's report:

General
The authors have answered to most of the commentaries that were raised.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-----------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-----------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

-----------------------------------------------

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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