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Author’s response to reviews:

We would like to thank the reviewers for reviewing our paper. Following this round of comments the reviewers had no further recommendations for changes to the paper. We have therefore not made any changes to the text of the report.

Following your suggestions we have made the following formatting changes:
Abstract - we have replaced the "Objective" section of the abstract with a "Background" section

References - In the manuscript text, we have placed the reference before the full stop.

References - we have added all authors’ names to the reference list, the term "et al" is no longer used

Website addresses - There were no website addresses in the text. We have cited the one website that was in the references as Website title [http://www.website.com].

Tables - We have deleted table 3 from the manuscript, and have added this as Figure 2 and so renumbered the other figures accordingly - we have uploaded these again with the correct labels for the new numbering.