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Reviewer's report:

General
This is an excellent paper. Authors have produced an original piece of work of high quality. Congratulations!

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
None.
All 4 queries that I originally raised have been addressed in a thoughtful and scientifically rigorous way.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
None

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
None

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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