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Reviewer's report:

The authors did a good job revising the paper.

Minor essential revisions:
Abstract please check the spelling of quantitative it is mispelled multiple times
Abstract sentence "Although the majority OF approaches" please add "of"
Background sentence "As for aspirin" should instead read "As WITH aspirin"

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.