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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor

We thank your and the reviewer’s re-evaluation. Reviewer 2 suggested a few minor revisions, which we all addressed in the revised paper.

Minor essential revisions:
- Abstract please check the spelling of quantitative it is mispelled multiple times
- Abstract sentence "Although the majority OF approaches" please add "of"
- Background sentence "As for aspirin" should instead read "As WITH aspirin"

We apologize for the delay in resubmitting this revision. We actually sent you an email a week ago, which may not have found its way to you. We need request that you bump the article down your queue for publication. This paper is part of a larger report for a US federal agency (AHRQ), and the full report must be accepted by the agency before pieces of it can be published. The agency will NOT publish the full report before our paper comes out in BMC Med Res Methodology, but they must internally accept the full report first. The section of the report that this paper is based on has not changed, it is other pieces that are unrelated to our manuscript with you that the agency must still approve.

We’d be happy to explain further over the phone or answer any questions you may have. We would also be ready to pay the publication fee now. We appreciate your attention to this.

Sincerely,
Milo Puhan, MD, PhD