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**Reviewer's report:**

This is an important paper with strengths. The search methods were clearly stated and reasonably comprehensive, although they could have been broader. There might also indices of journal articles about nursing healthcare, and, to search for the domestic database, all (not such) nursing Korean databases.

In addition, the criteria for deciding which the screen and selection of the studies to include in the systematic review were not defined clearly. Although two assessors independently judged study relevance, it is not clear what criteria were used.

The criteria used for assessing the AMSTAR risks of bias of the studies that were reviewed were defined quite explicitly and addressed the major factors. The methods used to combine the findings were well described and applied appropriately.

Overall, the conclusions are supported by the data and analyses reported.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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