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**Reviewer's report:**

Major Compulsory Revisions

1. What were the new findings of the study? Were there any other studies that examined the tolerability of ABPM using repeated ABPM? Please more clearly state the strength of this study.

Minor Essential Revisions

1. Page 2, 2nd from the last line:
   Could 8.6 % be considered as "only"?
   To me the proportion seems very high. Please add discussion on that comparing corresponding proportion in previous studies.

2. Page 3, 3rd line, "p=NS":
   Describe percentages and actual p-values.
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