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Reviewer's report:

Major
1. Excluding studies with less than 100 participants seems arbitrary--please include the methodological reasoning (with references) behind this decision.
2. Please revise the nonsensical abstract sentence "Quality ranged from 41% to 89%." to say something more meaningful.
3. Revise the conclusion: the study may show a lack of high quality cohort studies but it does not demonstrate a need for high quality cohort studies if there are other feasible study designs with more merit (e.g. RCTs that minimize the effect of bias).
4. Please discuss comparative effectiveness research (CER) and how this study's results relate to CER.

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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