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Reviewer’s report:

Overall the revision is fine. Remaining issues concern (numbers refer to the comments listed by the authors in their revision letter):

1. I cannot remember where I read about online surveys. Perhaps it was in a social science journal, which may not be covered by the databases given.

3. The introduction still has a pro-innovation bias, because all the disadvantages are only mentioned in the discussion section.

5. The brandname Expertlense can still be omitted at more places, such as the abstract.

11. Kappa=0.36 is low according to some standards (not fair). This is no major problem as the actual figure is given.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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