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**Reviewer's report:**

I am happy that the revised manuscript addresses the points that I raised in my earlier review. I am happy with the paper as a whole, but have not considered in detail the points raised by my co-reviewer. I leave him to check that these have been adequately addressed.

I would like the authors to make clear that "Hans Mustermann" is an alias in figure 1. If this is a real patient's name, an alias should be provided.
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**Quality of written English:** Acceptable
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