Reviewer's report

Title: Successful Reach and Adoption of a workplace health promotion RCT targeting a group of high-risk workers

Version: 5 Date: 4 May 2010

Reviewer: Masao Tsuchiya

Reviewer's report:

This manuscript seems good revision. However, I have still two questions.

1) About the analysis titled as "Randomization and comparison of the intervention groups"

I could not find the discussion about this analysis. If you include this analysis, you have to discuss about the result of this analysis.

2) About your reply "Both the cognitive behavioural theory-based training and the physical coordination training are designed to influence participants' work environment."

I think this point is very important for your paper. Because you mentioned it in the discussion (p17, line2). You should write more detailed explanation about program component which deals work environment in method (CBT, PCT) or Discussion (p17). Without this explanation, readers cannot understand the reason of the discussion

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests