Author's response to reviews

Title: Protocol for a mixed methods study investigating the impact of investment in housing, regeneration and neighbourhood renewal on the health and wellbeing of residents: the GoWell programme

Authors:

Matt Egan (m.egan@sphsu.mrc.ac.uk)
Sheila Beck (Sheila.beck@health.scot.nhs.uk)
Lyndal Bond (lyndal@sphsu.mrc.ac.uk)
Jennie Coyle (Jennie.Coyle@glasgow.gov.uk)
Fiona Crawford (Fiona.Crawford@glasgow.gov.uk)
Ade Kearns (a.kearns@lbss.gla.ac.uk)
Louise Lawson (l.lawson@lbss.gla.ac.uk)
Phil Mason (p.mason@socsci.gla.ac.uk)
Carol Tannahill (Carol.Tannahill@glasgow.gov.uk)
Elena Sautkina (elena@sphsu.mrc.ac.uk)
Hilary Thomson (hilary@sphsu.mrc.ac.uk)
David Walsh (david.walsh@drs.glasgow.gov.uk)

Version: 2 Date: 31 March 2010

Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor, thank you for accepting this manuscript, subject to the inclusion of a paragraph on power calculations.

We have now added that paragraph into the main text (page 12-13).

We have also added 3 more authors. Mark Petticrew and Phil Hanlon were former principal investigators who made major contributions to GoWell methods and to some of the original documentation upon which this manuscript was based. Jennifer McLean contributed to the original manuscript and her initial omission was an oversight. All three have approved the final manuscript.

We have made some alterations to the acknowledgements section (largely resulting from the additional co-authors).

It has been pointed out that the original text did not mention how we sampled survey participants – so the following has been added (page 13)

“Addresses were selected at random from the Royal Mail postal address file, or for smaller areas all residential addresses were selected. We sampled one householder per household (by most recent birthday, as required).”

best wishes, Matt Egan (on behalf of all authors)