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Reviewer's report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined? clear

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described? mostly clear only the variation i the algorythm is not explained

Figure 1 is somewhat confusing as I do not understand how the algorythm can compose three groups (instead of two). Please explain.

3. Are the data sound? Sound as far as I can judge

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? OK

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? clear

6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated? Limitations are not mentioned, please discuss possible threats to the internal and external validity

7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished? Clear

8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? Clear

9. Is the writing acceptable? Acceptable

Level of interest: An article of limited interest
Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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