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Reviewer’s report:

General
After this second revision the manuscript has taken into account the comments made by the three reviewers. My major concern remains the fact that this is a single study, already quite old. However, the data presented is sound and the discussion of the findings is thorough and interesting.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
none

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
none

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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