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Reviewer's report:

This is a very well written and concise article. I often have several comments to make, but I found that the authors had already addressed them, for example the use of non-parametric (or not) tests for Likert scales, where I agreed with their approach to prefer the former but compare results with the latter. 

Since the topic is not that original, I would strongly recommend to keep it concise, but try to bring to light any novel aspects and findings (see below)

Major Revisions
None

Minor Essential Revisions
Sometimes the use of past and present tense is inconsistent - please improve

The finding that shorter questions were more likely to be reliable is very interesting and I suggest increasing its prominence in the abstract and conclusions.

Discretionary Revisions
The finding that tools like that work better when used in clinical context and are worded accordingly, has been shown before, and perhaps could be discussed more - see similarities and differences for that and other aspects (e.g. situational awareness, which is notoriously difficult to define and measure reliably) in:


I enjoyed reading this manuscript.
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