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Reviewer's report:

Discretionary Revisions

Version 2 is very very much improved from version 1 of April.

My principal remaining concern is the length of the Background (3 full pages). It could be considerably shorter without loosing important content.

I was also confused by the section on Statistical analysis (line 184). Are lines 199 to 224 really part of this section, or is an adjustment necessary?

Minor points not for publication.
Line 4 Rather than ‘only five percent’ I suggest “may be no more than five percent”.
Line 8 Typo: ‘ensure’, not ‘assure’
Table 1. Close bracket under Text Message
I think a brief legend would be useful to explain % assigned.
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