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Dear Editor,

Thank you for your comments and suggestions on our paper entitled: “Are patients with non-ST elevation myocardial infarction undertreated?”

We have adjusted the manuscript according to the comments. A detailed list of all specific revisions is included.

We hope you will find the revised version of the paper suitable for publication in the BMC Cardiovascular Disorders as a research article.

Looking forward to your comment,

Sincerely,

On behalf of the authors,

Saman Rasoul
Isala Klinieken
Department of Cardiology
Groot Wezenland 20
8011 JW Zwolle
The Netherlands
Tel 31 38 4242198
Fax 31 38 4243733
E mail v.r.c.derks@isala.nl
Reviewer 1

Reviewer's report

Title: Are patients with non-ST elevation myocardial infarction undertreated?
Version: 2 Date: 20 December 2006
Reviewer: Richard Bach

Reviewer's report:
General
This is a revision of a previously reviewed manuscript that has addressed most of the
comments raised by the reviewers.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on
publication can be reached)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term,
which the author can be trusted to correct)

Citations #18 and 19 appear to remain slightly misplaced with respect to the subject
matter in the text. The title of reference #19 is incorrect.

We placed Citations #18 and 19 on the right place.

The title of reference #19 is correct, please see either pub med or the Journal which
published the manuscript.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Reviewer 3

Reviewer's report

Title: Are patients with non-ST elevation myocardial infarction undertreated?
Version: 2 Date: 18 December 2006
Reviewer: Daniela Balzi

Reviewer's report:

General

In this study some important informative variables (mainly co-morbidities) are not available and this is an important limitation of the manuscript.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-I suggest to delete the word "unselected" when the authors refer to this cohort, because, as stated in the "Limitations" paragraph, a selection bias may play a role in this study.

Although, we mentioned in the limitation section that selection bias may play a role in this study, however, we think that the patient population in this trial are unselected. In this study there were no in- or exclusion criteria, all the patients with a discharge myocardial infarction (STEMI or non-STEMI) diagnose are included.

-Pag. 7: substitute "due" to "duo"

We substituted "duo" to "due".