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Reviewer's report:

General
The suggested revisions are adequate. The study has a clear design. The manuscript presents the data in an easy understandable way. However, it is not the first report in this field. The results confirm results from other study groups.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No