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Reviewer’s report:

General

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

The visualisation of echocontrast agent deposit is suboptimal. Perhaps, authors are able to provide additional image with alcohol deposit after procedure. In paper by Faber et al. (Circulation 1998;98:2415-2421) Figure 2 shows illustrative example of my proposal.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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