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**Reviewer's report:**

what was the dose of tirofiban used? it needs to be clarified as it is my understanding that at a higher concentration Tirofiban works better (ADVANCE trial), however at that concetration the volume used is very large at it is a challenge to infuse so much in the coronary directly.

most of the figure/tables dont have legends?

minor gramatical errors through out the text eg.page 4 line 2.."only enrolled the patients occured NRP during PCI". kindly read text once again for grammatical errors

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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