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Reviewer's report:

Comment to the Authors:
The authors investigate the cost effectiveness of a coronary heart disease secondary prevention program. Follow-ups at 6 and 12 months show in that randomized trial that the program was not cost-effective. The paper and the methodology are carefully described, particularly considering the negative findings the paper is by far too long and could be shortened substantially. The second part of the Conclusion “future cost savings” is just speculative, has not been investigated and should be deleted.
In the References the journals are abbreviated inconsistently, for example "journal" in Ref. 1 and 4, or “American” in Ref. 25 and 29. In Ref. 29 the pages are missing
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