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Reviewer’s report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
1. The selection of predictors is not explained or justified. There remain other important factors that were not accounted for in the analysis.
2. The definitions of some important predictors are lacking or lack of clarity. For example, tobacco consumption and alcohol consumption were not based on the amount of tobacco or alcohol consumed but rather based a single yes-no question. Similarly, physical activity was not defined.
3. The findings are not new. However, it is perhaps the first report of the prevalence and associated factors of prehypertension in the Uganda population. I would suggest presenting these findings in a short report or a letter form.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests.