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Reviewer’s report:

General

The heterogeneity of the population studied, especially regarding age and pathologies, might compromise the results obtained in consideration of the different vascular tone of these patients. Can the Authors better underline these differences? Authors have to stress the concept that results must be confirmed and actually have to be taken prudentially.

in the Abstract line 10: indwelling instead of in-dwelling
in the Background line 16: veterinary instead of vetinary
in the Discussion: Title: Discussion instead of Conclusions

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions
Level of interest: An article of limited interest
Quality of written English: Acceptable
Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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