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Reviewer’s report:

General
I am satisfied with the revised manuscript.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
<none>

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
<none>

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

1) The statistical analysis section has not been modified to reflect the added analysis of blood loss, which I presume was modeled with linear regression, not logistic regression. It is not clear why the modelling results are reported on a percentage scale rather than absolute (i.e. 24% vs ?mL).
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