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Reviewer's report:

Major revisions have been made successfully

Please, reformate your discussion section. Mention your main results first, then follow with the limitations of the study.

Please, make sure that there are no typos (e.g. coagulopathy), also follow along your text and change at admission to "on admission" in the result section: "patients were included in the study..."

Page 11 results: if you have a range of 1 to 82 days, how can you have a median of 0.9 days, please correct discussion first phrase; "....patients were enrolled in the study. Since none of them underwent a neurosurgical procedure....."

Page 14, line 8 ".... in agreement with other studies..."

Page 15, line 17 "Unlike found in an older study, we could not show any relationship between...."

Conclusion "the severity of disease..." and

"all effort to prevent hypothermia"

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No
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