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Reviewer's report:

This is a study comparing the conventional technique and video laryngoscope for insertion of gastric tube. It is an interesting subject. There are numerous methodological issues that need to be clarified.

1- Authors should give some information about other NGT insertion and confirmed techniques.
2- What is the King vision? Why authors chose King vision? Why did not they use other videoscopic technique?
3- Authors should give some information about anaesthesia.
4- Which parameters did they use? I did not see any information in methods section.
5- Which did operation types include the study?
6- Did they have power analysis?
7- Discussion section is unclear. Background and discussion sections have similar information. I think, discussion section should rewrite.
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