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2. Revision

“Asymmetry in available patient-related information disrupts pre-anesthetic patient briefing”

Dear Tom.

Many thanks for your comments and best regards to Douglas Paull and Hiroto Narimatsu for their constructive proof.

Well, we have considered the aspects and attached a revised version of the manuscript, which we hope you find acceptable:

I. Page 4, second paragraph (full name of the ethics committee):

The study was approved by the local ethics committee (Ethik-Kommission der Medizinischen Fakultät der Universität Leipzig, Ref:176-11-30052011).

II. Page 8, second paragraph (spelling errors – “size”)

“… we also found an imperfection of simpler physical examination results like blood pressure, body weight, and body height (data not shown) that were usually provided by nurses.”

II. Page 13, first paragraph (Competing interests):

The authors declare that they are not aware of any competing interests.
Thank you again for your input and support,
Kind regards,
Joerg