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Reviewer’s report:

Minor essential revisions

1. page 4 line 12.. if blood gas analyss was implemented

2. Page 5 line 2. A number should not start a sentence. Similarly the beginning of the 3rd paragraph on page 5

3. the first 2 sentences in the second paragraph on page 5 are still a little confusing. Presumably the MHN group refers to the remaining 8/19 patients. This could be made clearer

4. Page 5 2nd para, line 15... iincluded...

5. Page 8, 1st paragraph, sugammadex is spelt incorrectly

6. Page 8, 2nd para, ..halothane or sevoflurane

7. Page 9, 2nd paragraph... since the presence of an acidosis. Also a reason for less evidence of metabolic acidosis may be earlier diagnosis

8. Page 10, 2nd paragraph ....MH has been available....

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests