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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for addressing all comments very carefully. I am very glad for the new conclusion.

Minor comments.

Abstract - method section - line 3. Include learning after "adults"
P 7 - line 7. You mention a questionnaire to assess learning, but you do not give any results. Even though it is only postcourse values these data could support your findings (process measurements). If not, then delete the information here. I am aware that you were asked to expand the section about the educational program, but could it be shortened without valuable information being lost?

Discussion - would suggest to delete the first 6 lines (repetition of methods) - start with main findings. In general, mention own findings and then compare with other studies.
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Quality of written English: Acceptable
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