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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Dr Rowles,

In accordance with the Instructions for Authors and the Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals found elsewhere I submit a revised version of our manuscript A prospective clinical study on agreement to evaluate the GlucoseMonitor, a novel device for continuous assessment of blood glucose levels, in comparison with the RAPIDLab® 1265 blood gas analyser (contassglu) for your consideration.

Please note that we changed the title to Design of a prospective clinical study on the agreement between the Continuous GlucoseMonitor, a novel device for CONTinuous ASSessment of blood GLUcose levels, and the RAPIDLab® 1265 blood gas analyser: The CONTASSGLU study

We added the name of the ethical review board that granted approval and revised our Authors’ Contributions section in accordance with your formatting guidelines. In addition, we copyedited the paper.

We are very grateful for this opportunity to substantially improve the paper.

If you have any further queries, please do not hesitate to contact us, I will be glad to answer.

Yours sincerely,
Johannes