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**Reviewer's report:**

I thank the authors for their considered responses to my previous points, particularly with respect to the low observed incidence of grade 1 C&L laryngeal views. I have no further comments about the revised manuscript other than to agree with the second reviewer that a footnote since should be added stating that since the authors first submitted their manuscript other companies have developed modified videolaryngoscopes which offer both direct and indirect laryngeal views.
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