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Reviewer’s report:

the authors should be congratulated for such an interesting and time consummg work

• Discretionary Revisions (which are recommendations for improvement but which the author can choose to ignore)

  table 2
  spelling error
  Cardiaothoracic

  table 3
  I would add the number of citations

  I would suggest to put on web the full table with all the articles > 100 times cited and allow the authors of missing manuscripts to add their masterpiece in the months following the publication of the paper

• Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

  the journal list is not complete
  there is at list 1 journal with IF and with articles in English (Minerva Anestesiologica) that was not included. I guess no article was cited more than 100 times, and the authors can simply add the journal in table 1

  table 2 is not clear

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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