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Author’s response to reviews:

We would like to thank the reviewer for the comments.

Reviewer reports:

Reviewer #1:

1. The number of participants that have been recruited should be stated.

We supplemented the text under the subtitle 'Trial status' and updated it with latest number of patients that are currently recruited.
2. The ethic documentations should be attached.

We attached the official study protocol to the file inventory documents. If people are interested in reading the whole protocol after reading our paper, they can contact the corresponding author to get the Original study protocol.

Please find enclosed the revised version of the manuscript entitled ‘Long term scar quality after hydrosurgical versus conventional debridement of deep dermal burns (HyCon trial): a multicentre randomized controlled trial’ by C.M. Legemate and co-authors. We revised the manuscript, according to the suggestions of the reviewers. All authors gave their final approval of the submitted version.

We hope the revised manuscript meets the standard for publication in Trials.