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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review your interesting paper. I look forward to more detailed discussed after publication.

Just a few points and observations.

In order to make it clear about which participants (older adults') provide their perspectives in paper, I would consider revising the title of the paper. Maybe, also describe the method used - interpretivist qualitative - in the title to make it easier for others to find the paper.

Probably because of timing you appear to have missed some other published research from another Ontario community Paramedicine program that looked at the consumer perspective. Page 5, Line 7.


Methods

It is left until later in the paper to describe how long the CP program had been operating. Many of the time lines are a bit hard to follow.
Page 15, Line 22. There appears to be a word missing between such and directly.

Page 17, Line 41. Mention is made of the power dynamic between the paramedics and the clients. This issue was also identified in the Renfrew Study published in BMC-HSR.


**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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