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Reviewer’s report:

There is a good amount of publications regarding misconceptions and myths; however, this research was conducted in a region with scarce information on these issues.

The manuscript needs more precise information on the qualitative approach used in the research. There are no references as to the theoretical framework used. Focus Groups and interviews, were used for data collection, there should be bibliographic references regarding these instruments. Also a step by step description on how the focus group (FG)/interview script was developed is necessary and the validation process of these scripts should be included. More information regarding the participants selection process should be included, how was it decided that the number of FG and interviews conducted was sufficient, was the concept of saturation used? What was the theoretical reference for the definition of the sample and saturation? How were participants selected, how were participants approached, did all participants approached accept to participate or did some refuse ....?.. There is no theoretical reference for data analysis and a detailed description on how the process of analysis was conducted and how analysis was validated should be included.

Results: The description of the results could include more information. Not so many quotes are necessary. Quotes are used to illustrate the results presented. Reading the results through the manuscript, sometimes it is evident that there is more information in the quotes than in the description of the results. It is necessary to review all the data to improve the description of the results and to use less and more meaningful quotes to illustrate. What was the process used to translate the quotes? The interviews were conducted in another language and then translated for publication, it is important to know.

The written English should be reviewed.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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