

Reviewer's report

Title: Experts' attitudes towards medical futility: An empirical survey from Japan

Version: 2 Date: 2 June 2006

Reviewer: Noritoshi Tanida

Reviewer's report:

General

I carefully read the revised version. I think all my points have been responded by the authors appropriately.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

None

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

None

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests.