

Reviewer's report

Title: Operational challenges in managing Isoniazid Preventive Therapy in child contacts: A high-burden setting perspective

Version: 1 **Date:** 31 March 2011

Reviewer: Louise Bradshaw

Reviewer's report:

Reviewer's report: Operational challenges in managing Isoniazid Preventive Therapy in child contacts: A high-burden setting perspective

Discretionary Revisions (which are recommendations for improvement but which the author can choose to ignore)

- 1) Background, para 2: "Despite these recommendations being in place for a long time" – suggest being more specific
- 2) Methods, Data collection and outcome measures, para 2: "Due to the different system supporting management of children in contact with drug-resistant TB cases, these children were excluded from our study." – could this different system be briefly expanded upon to explain why they were excluded?

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

None

Major Compulsory Revisions (which the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None

Minor issues, not for publication

- 1) Figure titles – consistency with hyphenation i.e. smear positive, smear-negative;
- 2) E.g. Background, para 2 – consistency in placing references after the full-stop

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests.