In this appendix we provide three worked examples of how to estimate mean speed over the study period, instantaneous speed at sampled times, and daily distance travelled, using the continuous-time latent speed and distance estimation (CTSD) method detailed in the main text. These are carried out on GPS data from a white-nosed coati (*Nasua narica*) tracked on Barro Colorado Island, Panama [1], and a wood turtle (*Glyptemys insculpta*) tracked in Virginia, USA, and ARGOS data from a brown pelican (*Pelecanus occidentalis*) tracked on the eastern coast of the United States. These three datasets are openly available within the ctmm package.

White-nosed coati example:  
Estimating speed/distance with a pre-calibrated device

The coati tracking data used in the main text to demonstrate the functionality of CTSD were collected using an e-obs collar. These devices have pre-calibrated dilution of precision (DOP) values, and the data come with ‘eobs horizontal accuracy estimate’ (in meters) as opposed to HDOP and VDOP columns respectively. As such, there is no need to collect calibration data to calibrate the device’s error prior to analysis.

```r
# Load the package library(ctmm)

# Load in the coati tracking dataset data("coati")

# Extract the data from the desired individual and return some summary statistics DATA <- coati[[1]]

summary(DATA)

$identity
 [1] "Aleja"

$timezone
 [1] "UTC"

$projection
 [1] "+proj=tpeqd +lon_1=-79.8508054092888 +lat_1=9.1520090169231 +lon_2=-79.8466077969879 +lat_2=9.15236182525117 +datum=WGS84"
```
Figure 1: A scatterplot of the GPS positional observations for a white nosed coati (*Nasua narica*) tracked on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. Data were collected using e-obs collars collecting locations at a 15 minute sampling interval, over 41 days.

Before fitting any movement models to these data, the first step is to ensure that there are no outliers that could bias parameter estimation. This step is facilitated by the `outlie()` function in the `ctmm` package (Fleming et al. *in prep.*). This function calculates distances from the median longitude and latitude, and maximum speeds over each time step. It returns a `data.frame` of these estimates, as well as a plot with intervals of high speed highlighted with blue segments, and
distant locations highlighted with red points. Both estimates account for telemetry error and the speed estimates account for timestamp truncation and assign each time step’s speed to the most likely offending time (based on the speed estimate of adjacent locations). We note that the speed estimates used here are tailored for outlier detection and have poor statistical efficiency.

```
# Check for any outliers
OUTLIERS <- outlie(DATA)
```

![Figure 2: A scatterplot of the GPS positional observations returned by the outlie() function. Intervals of high speed are highlighted with blue segments, and distant locations are highlighted with red points](image)

The location in the upper right-hand of the plot appears to be an outlier, but, to confirm this, it is useful to plot the speed and distance estimates.

```
plot(OUTLIERS)
```

This scatterplot confirms our suspicion that the location in question is an outlier, and we can proceed to remove it.

```
# Remove the outlier based on the estimated speed
DATA <- DATA[-(which(OUTLIERS[[1]] > 0.6)),]
```

Finally, to confirm we have removed all of the outliers, we repeat the above steps on the filtered data.

```
# Re-check for any remaining outliers
par(mfrow=c(1,3))
```
Figure 3: A scatterplot of the speed and distance estimates returned by the `outlie()` function. Note how the majority of the estimates are clustered together, but with one obvious outlier in the upper right-hand of the plot.

```r
plot(DATA, 
     error = 2, 
     level.UD = 0.50)

OUTLIERS <- outlie(DATA)

plot(OUTLIERS)
```

Figure 4: From left to right, scatterplots of the GPS positional observations, the GPS positional observations returned by the `outlie()` function, and the speed and distance estimates returned by the `outlie()` function. Note how there is not longer any indication of outliers in the data.

After removing any outliers from the data, the next step is to fit a series of continuous-time
movement models to the data, and the best fit model is selected based on the approximate small-sample-size corrected Akaike Information Criterion [for a complete description of the model selection step see: 2].

```r
# Generate the variogram
vg <- variogram(DATA)

# Guesstimate the model to obtain initial parameter values
GUESS <- ctmm.guess(DATA,
                     variogram = vg,
                     interactive = FALSE)

# Turn error on
GUESS$error <- TRUE

# Fit and select models
FITS <- ctmm.select(DATA,
                     CTMM = GUESS)

# Return a summary of the selected model
summary(FITS)

$name
[1] "OUF isotropic error"

$DOF
   mean   area  speed
41.00426 68.62914 537.66568

$CI
   low      ML      high
area (square kilometers) 1.601182 2.059400 2.574401
τ[position] (hours) 8.121016 11.089522 15.143118
τ[velocity] (minutes) 18.627999 21.661519 25.189039
speed (kilometers/day) 5.373700 5.610866 5.847895

# Note: the speed value returned here is the RMS speed
detailed in Appendix S1

# Plot the variogram and selected model to visually inspect the fit
plot(vg,
     CTMM = FITS)
```

With filtered data, and an appropriate movement model in hand, the final step is to estimate the animal’s instantaneous speeds, the mean speed over the study period, or the speed/distance travelled over a specific period of time.
Figure 5: Variogram derived from the coati’s location data. The black line and grey shading depict the semi-variance ± 95% CIs, whereas the red line and shading depict the fitted movement model ± 95% CIs of the model fit.

# Estimate mean speed over the duration of the study period
speed(DATA, FITS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>low</th>
<th>ML</th>
<th>high</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>speed (kilometers/day)</td>
<td>4.305776</td>
<td>4.439711</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Estimate the instantaneous speeds
SPEEDS <- speeds(DATA, FITS)

head(SPEEDS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>low</th>
<th>ML</th>
<th>high</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>timestamp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.007752602</td>
<td>0.04316145</td>
<td>0.09353133</td>
<td>1268670712</td>
<td>2010-03-15 16:31:52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005661690</td>
<td>0.03153354</td>
<td>0.06833981</td>
<td>1268672450</td>
<td>2010-03-15 17:00:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005020705</td>
<td>0.02795043</td>
<td>0.06056812</td>
<td>1268673356</td>
<td>2010-03-15 17:15:56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005774482</td>
<td>0.03209965</td>
<td>0.06953654</td>
<td>1268674253</td>
<td>2010-03-15 17:30:53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.007557332</td>
<td>0.04179921</td>
<td>0.09044595</td>
<td>1268675130</td>
<td>2010-03-15 17:45:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008125551</td>
<td>0.04512254</td>
<td>0.09772508</td>
<td>1268679695</td>
<td>2010-03-15 19:01:34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

####################################
### Estimating daily movement distance over a study period

# First identify how many days the individual was tracked for
DATA$day <- cut(DATA$timestamp, breaks="day")

days <- unique(DATA$day)

# An empty list to fill with the results
res <- list()

# Loop over the number of days
for(i in 1:length(days)){

  message("Estimating distance travelled on day ", i," : ", days[i])

  # Select data for the day in question
  DATA.SUBSET <- DATA[which(DATA$day == days[i]),]

  # Calculate the duration of the sampling period (in seconds)
  SAMP.TIME <- diff(c(DATA.SUBSET$t[1],
                      DATA.SUBSET$t[nrow(DATA.SUBSET)]))

  # Guesstimate the model for initial parameter values
  GUESS <- ctmm.guess(DATA,
                      variogram = variogram(DATA),
                      interactive = FALSE)

  # Turn error on
  GUESS$error <- TRUE

  # Fit the movement model to the day's data
  FITS <- ctmm.fit(DATA.SUBSET,
                   CTMM = GUESS)

  # Calculate speed in m/s
  ctmm_speed <- speed(object = DATA.SUBSET,
                       CTMM = FITS,
                       units = FALSE)

  # Multiply speed (in m/s) by the sample time (in s) to get the estimated distance travelled (in m)
  ctmm_dist <- ctmm_speed*SAMP.TIME

  # Re-name the variable
  rownames(ctmm_dist) <- "distance (meters)"

}
And store the results in the list
x <- c(i, #The day
cvvm_dist[2], #The ML distance estimate
cvvm_dist[1], #Min CI
cvvm_dist[3]) #Max CI

names(x) <- c("date", "dist.ML", "dist.Min", "dist.Max")

res[[i]] <- x
}

#Finally bind results together as a data frame
res <- as.data.frame(do.call(rbind, res))
res$date <- as.Date(days)

head(res)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>date</th>
<th>dist.ML</th>
<th>dist.Min</th>
<th>dist.Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 2010-03-15</td>
<td>351.2639</td>
<td>255.0523</td>
<td>454.6734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 2010-03-16</td>
<td>3109.6675</td>
<td>2853.1944</td>
<td>3371.4784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 2010-03-17</td>
<td>2068.6985</td>
<td>1813.2098</td>
<td>2332.2601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 2010-03-18</td>
<td>1837.9638</td>
<td>1686.7342</td>
<td>1992.3331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 2010-03-19</td>
<td>2426.6460</td>
<td>2005.2749</td>
<td>2867.0971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 2010-03-20</td>
<td>2168.0942</td>
<td>1889.9962</td>
<td>2455.3340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wood turtle example:
Estimating speed/distance with calibration data

For the wood turtle’s data, locations were collected using a tracking device that did not have calibrated error. For these data, the first step is to therefore calibrate the DOP values. This is done by using calibration data, where the tracking device has been left in a fixed location for a period of time, to estimate the device’s user equivalent range error (UERE). The device specific UERE is then used to translate the unit-less GPS dilution of precision (DOP) values into standard deviations of mean-zero error, where the horizontal error = UERE × HDOP [3]. Calibration data for this turtle’s tracking tag were collected by leaving two devices in a fixed location, each for 1 day, sampling at 10-minute intervals. The uere.fit() function from the ctmm package is then used to both estimate the UERE, and the uere()<- function was used to assign that value to the tracking data.

#Load in the wood turtle calibration, and tracking data
data("turtle")

#Split the data into the calibration datasets
#And the desired individual’s tracking data
CALIBRATION <- list(turtle[1:2])

DATA <- turtle[[4]]

# Plot the calibration data
plot(CALIBRATION,
     error = 2,
     level.UD = 0.5,
     ylim = c(-200, 200))

Figure 6: Scatterplot of the device’s calibration data.

# Estimate the UERE
UERE <- uere.fit(CALIBRATION)

summary(UERE)

An object of class "UERE"
   horizontal
all 10.62878
Slot "DOF":
   horizontal
all 229

Slot "AICc":
   horizontal
   3993.712

Slot "Zsq":
   horizontal
After having calibrated the errors, the process of estimating speed and distance travelled using the CTSD approach is much the same as that detailed for the coati’s tracking data above. First, any outliers are removed from the dataset, movement models are fit to the clean data, and the best fit model is used to generate the desired estimates.

```r
# Assign the UERE to the tracking data
uere(DATA) <- UERE

# Plot the tracking data showing the 50% error circles
plot(DATA,
     error = 2,
     level.UD = 0.50)
```

![Scatterplot of wood turtle's GPS positional observations](image)

Figure 7: A scatterplot of the wood turtle’s GPS positional observations.

```r
# Identify any potential outliers and visualise the outputs
par(mfrow=c(1,2))
OUTLIERS <- outlie(DATA)
plot(OUTLIERS)

# Filter out the outliers
DATA <- DATA[-(which(OUTLIERS[[1]] >= 0.03)),]

# Generate the variogram
vg <- variogram(DATA)

# Guesstimate the model for initial parameter values
GUESS <- ctmm.guess(DATA,
```
Figure 8: From left to right, the GPS positional observations returned by the `outlie()` function, and the speed and distance estimates returned by the `outlie()` function.

```r
# Turn error on
GUESS$error <- TRUE

# Fit and select models
FITS <- ctmm.select(DATA, CTMM = GUESS)

# Return a summary of the selected model
summary(FITS)

$name
[1] "OUF anisotropic error"

$DOF
mean  area  speed
1.752473 1.733970 13.040823

$CI

  low   ML  high
area (hectares)  0.2486431 2.610812 7.682437
τ[position] (days) 0.0000000 26.570201 66.986488
```
Brown pelican (*Pelecanus occidentalis*) example: Estimating speed/distance with ARGOS data

The empirical tracking data used in the main text to demonstrate the functionality of CTSD were collected using GPS-based tags. While GPS data tend to have greater positional accuracy and finer resolutions than data collected using ARGOS based telemetry, CTSD can be applied to ARGOS data. Here, we walk through an application of the method on data for a brown pelican *Pelecanus occidentalis* collected using tags that collected both ARGOS and GPS data (Fig. 9). A total of 338 ARGOS-based locations, and 1295 GPS-based were sampled over a ~5 month period in winter 2017. The ARGOS based locations had a mean location error of 414.5 meters (ranging from 132 m – 3.7 km), while the GPS based locations had a mean HDOP of 3.2 (ranging from 2 – 10). Modern ARGOS devices come pre-calibrated, so, for these data, no additional data collection and/or calibration was necessary. ARGOS data and the error ellipse information are automatically imported into ctmm. For the GPS data, however, no calibration data were available. As such, we set the RMS UERE to 10m.
Figure 9: A scatterplot of the positional observations for a brown pelican *Pelecanus occidentalis* tracked on the eastern coast of the United States. Data were collected using a tag that recorded 338 ARGOS locations, and 1295 GPS locations over a ~5 month period.

```r
# Load in the brown pelican tracking data
data("pelican")

summary(pelican)

$identity
```
There are no locations that appear to be obvious outliers, but, to confirm this, it is useful to plot the speed and distance estimates.

This scatterplot confirms our suspicion that there are no obvious outliers in the data, and we can proceed with the analyses.

The next step is to fit a series of continuous-time movement models to the data, and the best fit model is selected based on the approximate small-sample-size corrected Akaike Information Criterion.
Figure 10: A scatterplot of the ARGOS positional observations returned by the `outlie()` function. Intervals of high speed are highlighted with blue segments, and distant locations are highlighted with red points.

```r
# Fit and select models
argos_FIT <- ctmm.select(pelican, GUESS)

# Return a summary of the selected model
summary(argos_FIT)

$name
[1] "IOU anisotropic error"

$DOF
  mean  area  speed
  0.0000 0.0000 194.6332

$CI
  low  est  high
τ[velocity] (hours)  1.85794 2.304798 2.859132
speed (kilometers/day)  150.82847 162.224325 173.609543
# Note: the speed value returned here is the RMS speed
detailed in Appendix S1
```
Figure 11: A scatterplot of the speed and distance estimates returned by the `outlie()` function. Note how the majority of the estimates are clustered together, with no obvious outliers.

```r
# Plot the variogram and selected model to visually inspect the fit
plot(variogram(argos_data,
    fast = FALSE,
    dt = (c(1,6,24)%% "hr"),
    CTMM = argos_FIT)

With outlier free data, and an appropriate movement model in hand, the final step is to estimate the animal’s instantaneous speeds, the mean speed over the study period, or the speed/distance travelled over a specific period of time.

```r
# Estimate mean speed over the duration of the study period
speed(pelican,
    argos_FIT)
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>low</th>
<th>est</th>
<th>high</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>87.58893</td>
<td>93.43971</td>
<td>99.38238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```r
# Estimate the instantaneous speeds
argos_SPEEDS <- speeds(pelican,
    argos_FIT)
```
Figure 12: Variogram derived from the pelican’s location data. The black line and grey shading depict the semi-variance ± 95% CIs, whereas the red line and shading depict the fitted movement model ± 95% CIs of the model fit. Note the lack of a clear asymptote in the empirical variogram.

head(argos_SPEEDS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>low</th>
<th>est</th>
<th>high</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>timestamp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.414</td>
<td>5.630</td>
<td>6.915</td>
<td>1513289368</td>
<td>2017-12-14 22:09:28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.703</td>
<td>3.619</td>
<td>4.599</td>
<td>1513292364</td>
<td>2017-12-14 22:59:24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1105</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>1.386</td>
<td>1513295439</td>
<td>2017-12-14 23:50:39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2301</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>2.0406</td>
<td>1513298604</td>
<td>2017-12-15 00:43:24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1711</td>
<td>1.0425</td>
<td>2.304</td>
<td>1513305604</td>
<td>2017-12-15 02:40:04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.2433</td>
<td>1.475</td>
<td>3.2571</td>
<td>1513475824</td>
<td>2017-12-17 01:57:04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In comparison the SLD estimated speed for this individual can be estimated as:

```r
# The SLD Speed (in km/day)
# First get the duration of the sampling period (in days)
DURATION <- (tail(pelican$t,1) - head(pelican$t,1))/86400

# The SLD speed (distance/time)
(tot.dist(pelican)/1000)/DURATION
```

27.32517

For these coarsely sampled ARGOS data, CTSD estimation suggested a mean speed of 93.4 km/day (95% CIs: 87.6–99.4), whereas the SLD estimate was >3-fold lower at 27.3 km/day. To confirm the scale-insensitivity of the CTSD estimate for these data, versus the scale-sensitivity of SLD, we
repeat the analysis on the more finely sampled GPS data collected over the same period.

The first steps are to import the data, assign the RMS UERE, and check for outliers.

gps_data <- pelican[[2]]

# Assign a 10m UERE
uere(gps_data) <- 10

# Re-check for any remaining outliers
par(mfrow=c(1,3))
plot(DATA,
     error = 2,
     level.UD = 0.50)
OUTLIERS <- outlie(DATA)
plot(OUTLIERS)

Figure 13: From left to right, the GPS positional observations returned by the `outlie()` function, and the speed and distance estimates returned by the `outlie()` function.

As with the ARGOS data, there is no clear evidence that any of these data are outliers, so we proceed with the model fitting and estimation steps.

# Fit and select the movement models
GUESS <- ctmm.guess(gps_data,
                 interactive = FALSE,
                 CTMM = ctmm(tau = c(Inf,
GUESS$error <- TRUE

GPS_FIT <- ctmm.select(gps_data, GUESS)

summary(GPS_FIT)

$name
[1] "IOU anisotropic error"

$DOF
       mean area speed
  0.000 0.000 1180.545

$CI
     low     est     high
  τ[velocity] (hours) 1.561744 1.720164 1.894655
  speed (kilometers/day) 152.802686 157.289429 161.774421

# Estimate speed
gps_speed <- speed(gps_data, GPS_FIT, cores = -1)

  low     est     high
speed (kilometers/day) 90.92524 93.96206 97.02328

GPS_SPEEDS <- speeds(gps_data, GPS_FIT)

head(GPS_SPEEDS)

  low     est     high t timestamp
  1 0.1885202 1.136441 2.506196 1513296033 2017-12-15 00:00:33
  2 0.1905690 1.139954 2.509741 1513303216 2017-12-15 02:00:16
  3 1.5093224 3.041988 4.822413 1513339234 2017-12-15 12:00:34
  4 0.6270016 1.821023 3.313038 1513346433 2017-12-15 14:00:33
  5 0.1815883 1.073224 2.356639 1513353659 2017-12-15 16:00:59
  6 0.6555744 1.954969 3.587240 1513368011 2017-12-15 20:00:11

# The SLD Speed (in km/day)
# First get the duration of the sampling period (in days)
DURATION <- (tail(gps_data$t,1) - head(gps_data$t,1))/86400
The SLD speed (distance/time)
(tot.dist(gps_data)/1000)/DURATION

39.77388

From these GPS data, we see that the CTSD estimate of 94.0 km/day (95% CIs 90.9–97.0) is nearly identical to the CTSD estimate on the ARGOS data (93.4 km/day; 95% CIs: 87.6–99.4), though with narrower confidence intervals on the more finely sampled GPS data. In contrast, the 39.8 km/day speed estimated via SLD on the GPS data is drastically greater than the 27.3 km.day estimated on the more coarsely sampled ARGOS data. Collectively these results confirm the scale-insensitivity and well-behaved confidence intervals of CTSD, as opposed to the scale-sensitivity of SLD. They further reveal that the primary source of bias in both the GPS and ARGOS data is tortuosity induced, a data regime where model-smoothing is unlikely to provide any major benefits to SLD estimation (see simulation results in the main text).
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