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Review question
1. What are the comparative efficacies of available interventions for insomnia disorder?
2. What are the long-term safety implications of interventions for insomnia disorder?

Searches
Comprehensive literature searches will be conducted by an experienced librarian (Becky Skidmore) in PubMed, EMBASE, PsycINFO, MEDLINE, and The Cochrane library, and another expert librarian will peer review the search strategy using the PRESS checklist.

The search strategy will consist of both controlled vocabulary, such as the National Library of Medicine’s MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) terms, and keywords. For safety, whenever systematic reviews of relevant interventions are not identified, targeted literature searches will be conducted to identify randomized trials and observational studies.

Relevant grey literature (literature that is not published or widely available) will be identified by searching public health websites (e.g., Public Health Agency of Canada, Health Canada), drug regulatory websites (e.g., Food and Drug Administration; FDA), and the PROSPERO systematic review registry. In addition, clinical trial registries (e.g., World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Search Portal, www.clinicaltrials.gov) will also be searched to identify unpublished studies for safety outcomes whenever systematic reviews are not available.

The reference lists of included reviews will also be searched to identify any further reviews of interest.

Types of study to be included
Systematic reviews on the safety of pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions for insomnia.

Condition or domain being studied
Chronic and acute (<3 months) insomnia.

Participants/population
Adults aged 18 and older with insomnia disorder (e.g., DSM diagnostic criteria, international classification of sleep disorders, and research diagnostic criteria for insomnia) including patients with acute (<3 months), as well as chronic symptoms.

Subpopulations:
• Age groups (18 to 64 years; 65 years and above);
• Patients in long-term care facilities;
• Patients in correctional facilities.

Intervention(s), exposure(s)
Pharmacologic interventions (prescription and non-prescription); non-pharmacologic interventions (e.g., cognitive behavioural therapy [such as group or individual therapy, phone counselling, or self-directed
therapy], sleep hygiene, sleep restriction, sleep consolidation, stimulus control, meditation, mindfulness-based therapies, or relaxation therapies); and combination pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic interventions.

Comparator(s)/control
Placebo, sham intervention, wait-list control, or other interventions within the scope of the review.

Context

Primary outcome(s)
Efficacy outcomes:
Measured with polysomnography (PSG):
1. Sleep onset latency;
2. Total sleep time;
3. Wake after sleep onset.
Measured with tools, scales/questionnaires, diaries:
4. Sleep quality;
5. Sleep satisfaction;
6. Insomnia severity index (ISI);
7. Fatigue severity - using any measure;
8. Health-related quality of life - measure of daytime functioning.
Safety outcomes:
Short-term/transient outcomes:
1. Hangover/morning sedation.
Safety outcomes recorded after a minimum of 30 days of continuous drug therapy:
2. Accidental injuries (falls, fractures, traffic injuries);
3. Additional healthcare resource use related to safety of the intervention (hospitalizations, ER visits, doctor’s visits);
4. Delirium related to the intervention;
5. Sleep-disordered breathing related to the intervention;
6. Addiction, dependence, diversion;
7. All-cause mortality related to the intervention.

Timing and effect measures
Not applicable.

Secondary outcome(s)
Not applicable.

Data extraction (selection and coding)
We will conduct Level 1 and 2 screening (citations and full-text articles, respectively) of the literature search results using our online Synthesi.SR software (breakthroughkt.ca). Synthesi.SR is a proprietary tool developed by the Knowledge Translation Program used to manage reviews and to complete title/abstract and full-text screening. To ensure reliability in the use of this software, a training exercise will be conducted using the pre-defined eligibility criteria. If a high percentage agreement (>80%) is observed, two team members will proceed to independently screen each title and abstract for inclusion, and after the pilot-testing the full-text screening criteria, two reviewers will independently review the full-texts of potentially relevant articles. Conflicts will be resolved through discussion, or by a third reviewer, and study selection will be reported using the PRISMA flow diagram.

A charting exercise will be completed to assess how outcomes are reported in the included reviews, and to refine which measurement tools and scales will be abstracted. The results of the charting exercise will be discussed with project stakeholders and clinical experts to help select the most relevant outcome measures for inclusion in the review.
Data will be abstracted based on review characteristics (e.g., year of conduct/literature search, number of
included studies, type of included study designs), patient characteristics (e.g., type and number of patients, age mean and standard deviation), interventions examined (e.g., type of intervention, dose/frequency) and outcomes examined (e.g., name of outcome, outcome measure/definition). A draft data abstraction form will be established after consultation with our methodologist and/or clinical experts. Prior to data abstraction, a calibration exercise of the data abstraction form will be completed on a random sample of five articles, and subsequently, all of the included studies will be abstracted by two reviewers, independently. A third reviewer will compile the files, will ensure that the data are correct, and will also resolve any conflicts.

Risk of bias (quality) assessment
The methodological quality of the included reviews will be appraised using the AMSTAR tool by two reviewers, independently.

Strategy for data synthesis
The results of the literature search and screening will be summarized descriptively. Abstracted data will be compiled in tables to enable an in depth comparison of the literature, and descriptive syntheses of interventions and outcomes. Conclusion statements reported in systematic reviews in which a meta-analysis has not been conducted will be categorized by two reviewers according to a pre-existing framework: positive (there is evidence of effectiveness), neutral (no evidence of effectiveness or no opinion), or negative (advised against use of the intervention or stated there is insufficient evidence). Contrasting insomnia interventions examined in the literature will help to determine the specific features required to improve care, as well as to identify gaps in the literature in order to target future research initiatives. Safety outcomes reported in primary research studies will be summarized using descriptive statistics (e.g., frequency, proportions), and a meta-analysis will not be conducted for these findings.

Analysis of subgroups or subsets
None planned.
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