Additional file 3 - Equipment energy input and processes emission factors

This file summarizes the equipment energy input factors and all processes emissions factors used in building the life cycle inventory of HTL biofuels. The equipment energy input factors cover the equipment used in biomass collection and transportation and pre-processing stages, while the emissions factors cover all life cycle stages, including biomass collection and transportation, pre-processing, conversion, biofuels distribution and end use. Besides, a section is added to describe the emission factors associated with by-product biochar application. Emission factors are classified into two types: upstream and downstream. The upstream emission factors account for emissions associated with the upstream supply chain of materials and energy, i.e., production and delivery, while the downstream emission factors are related to the emissions from the materials and energy utilization.

1. Biomass collection and transportation

The emissions from biomass collection and transportation stages are mainly contributed by the operation of diesel-powered and marine diesel-powered equipment. The upstream is associated with diesel production and delivery, and the downstream is related to diesel combustion in the equipment engine. The energy input factors for the diesel-powered equipment are presented in

Table 1. The upstream and downstream emission factors are presented in Table 2 to Table 4.

Table 1. Equipment energy input for biomass collection and transportation modeling
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Fuel type</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Energy input</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loader</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>Load forest residues to chipper</td>
<td>0.82 L/dry tonne</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chipper</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>Chip forest residues</td>
<td>3.01 L/dry tonne</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dump truck</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>Shuttle chipped forest residues to FDP</td>
<td>6279 KJ/Tkm</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loader</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>Load feedstock at FDPs to STs or LTTs</td>
<td>1.02 L/dry tonne</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>Transport forest residues or wood pellets to refinery</td>
<td>1988 KJ/Tkm</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTT</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>Transport bio-oil to refinery</td>
<td>1988 KJ/Tkm</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferry</td>
<td>Marine diesel</td>
<td>Carry loaded STs or LTT on the sea</td>
<td>95 L/km</td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Diesel and marine diesel production and delivery emission factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>Diesel&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Marine diesel&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kg/MJ diesel</td>
<td>kg/MJ marine diesel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.05E-02</td>
<td>2.05E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMOCs</td>
<td>4.10E-06</td>
<td>4.10E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.31E-04</td>
<td>1.31E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1.36E-05</td>
<td>1.36E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</td>
<td>6.00E-07</td>
<td>6.00E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>5.17E-05</td>
<td>5.17E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>4.46E-05</td>
<td>4.46E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>3.06E-06</td>
<td>3.06E-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> from [2], “Upstream Results HHV | Hwy diesel, crude oil” model
<sup>b</sup> from [2], “Upstream Results HHV | Marine/Rail diesel, crude oil” model

Table 3. Biomass collection equipment operation emission factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>Chipper and loader on forest stands&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Dump truck&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Loader at feedstock delivery points&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kg/dry tonne biomass</td>
<td>kg/Tkm</td>
<td>kg/MJ diesel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.17E+01</td>
<td>4.35E-01</td>
<td>6.82E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMOCs</td>
<td>7.10E-03</td>
<td>4.50E-05</td>
<td>2.15E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.15E-02</td>
<td>2.70E-05</td>
<td>1.10E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1.02E-01</td>
<td>7.50E-05</td>
<td>9.60E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>1.90E-05</td>
<td>2.86E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.13E-01</td>
<td>1.64E-04</td>
<td>1.41E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.18E-02</td>
<td>1.50E-05</td>
<td>6.54E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>1.12E-03</td>
<td>8.00E-06</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> from [1]
<sup>b</sup> from [2], “Freight Emissions | Medium Duty Truck” model
<sup>c</sup> from [2], “Equip Emis Factors | Wheeled loader, diesel powered” model
Table 4. Transportation emission factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>Semi-trailer&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; kg/Tkm</th>
<th>Liquid tanker truck&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; kg/Tkm</th>
<th>Ferry&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; kg/tonne diesel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.38E-01</td>
<td>1.38E-01</td>
<td>3.49E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMOCs</td>
<td>1.43E-05</td>
<td>1.43E-05</td>
<td>2.64E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>8.45E-06</td>
<td>8.45E-06</td>
<td>1.87E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>2.38E-05</td>
<td>2.38E-05</td>
<td>8.14E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</td>
<td>5.94E-06</td>
<td>5.94E-06</td>
<td>1.28E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>5.19E-05</td>
<td>5.19E-05</td>
<td>6.67E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>4.62E-06</td>
<td>4.62E-06</td>
<td>2.20E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>2.54E-06</td>
<td>2.54E-06</td>
<td>1.32E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> from [2], “Freight Emissions | Heavy Duty Truck” model

<sup>b</sup> from [3], the total emission factors of ferry are the sum of underway, maneuvering and dockside emission factors

2. Pre-processing

The emissions from biomass pre-processing stage are contributed by the operation of equipment in biorefinery, including diesel-powered front-end loader, electricity-powered grinder and auxiliary equipment, as well as the operation of wood pellet plant in Wp-CIR scenario. The upstream is associated with diesel and electricity production and delivery, and the downstream is related to diesel combustion in equipment engines. It should be noted that the emission factors of wood pellet plant operation are presented in a life cycle basis, which cover both the upstream and downstream emissions. Besides, the emission factors of electricity generation in Alberta is summarized blow, which is used in the sensitivity analysis.

The energy input factors for the equipment used in feedstock pre-processing stage are presented in Table 5. The upstream emission factors of diesel are the same as those presented in
Biomass collection and transportation section (see Table 2) and the downstream emission factors of diesel combustion in front-end loader in biorefinery are same as the emission factors of loader operation at feedstock delivery points (see Table 3). The emission factors of wood pellet plant operation and electricity generation are presented in Table 6 to Table 10.

Table 5. Energy input of feedstock pre-processing in biorefinery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Fuel type</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Energy input</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Front-end loader</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>Unload biomass feedstock</td>
<td>0.42 L/dry tonne biomass</td>
<td>[4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grinder</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>Grind biomass feedstock</td>
<td>71.2 kWh/dry tonne biomass</td>
<td>[4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary equipment</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>Chip cleaning, dust collection</td>
<td>5 kWh/dry tonne biomass</td>
<td>[4]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Wood pellet plant operation Emission factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>kg/tonne of wood pellet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>8.33E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMOC</td>
<td>1.32E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>6.05E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>2.95E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>6.40E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>1.58E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>1.89E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>2.07E-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a from Pa et al. (2012)*
Table 7. British Columbia (BC) electricity mix profile and electricity generation and distribution efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution to BC electricity&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Natural gas (Boiler)</th>
<th>Natural gas (Turbine)</th>
<th>Fuel oil</th>
<th>Biomass</th>
<th>Hydro</th>
<th>Wind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contribution to BC electricity&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.43%</td>
<td>1.43%</td>
<td>1.52%</td>
<td>4.91%</td>
<td>90.44%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel to electricity efficiency&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42.34%</td>
<td>42.34%</td>
<td>44.66%</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity distribution efficiency&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> from [6], the average value of 2010-2012, and assume electricity generated by natural gas (boiler) and natural gas (turbine) share 50% of total natural gas generation, respectively.

<sup>b</sup> from [6]

<sup>c</sup> from [2], “Elec Emissions”

Table 8. British Columbia (BC) electricity generation emission factors<sup>a</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>Natural gas(Boiler)</th>
<th>Natural gas(Turbine)</th>
<th>Fuel oil</th>
<th>Biomass</th>
<th>Hydro</th>
<th>Wind</th>
<th>BC electricity Mix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up-stream</td>
<td>Down-stream</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Up-stream</td>
<td>Down-stream</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Up-stream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>1.59E-2</td>
<td>1.33E-1</td>
<td>1.49E-1</td>
<td>1.59E-2</td>
<td>1.21E-1</td>
<td>1.37E-1</td>
<td>3.42E-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMOCs</td>
<td>6.40E-6</td>
<td>3.90E-6</td>
<td>1.03E-5</td>
<td>6.40E-6</td>
<td>6.30E-7</td>
<td>7.03E-6</td>
<td>8.35E-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4</td>
<td>1.71E-4</td>
<td>2.57E-6</td>
<td>1.73E-4</td>
<td>1.71E-4</td>
<td>8.93E-6</td>
<td>1.80E-4</td>
<td>2.95E-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1.34E-5</td>
<td>9.40E-5</td>
<td>1.07E-4</td>
<td>1.34E-5</td>
<td>8.51E-5</td>
<td>9.85E-5</td>
<td>2.01E-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2O</td>
<td>3.66E-7</td>
<td>9.32E-8</td>
<td>4.59E-7</td>
<td>3.66E-7</td>
<td>3.11E-6</td>
<td>3.48E-6</td>
<td>6.48E-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>9.80E-5</td>
<td>1.72E-4</td>
<td>2.70E-4</td>
<td>9.80E-5</td>
<td>1.82E-4</td>
<td>2.80E-4</td>
<td>9.97E-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>1.26E-5</td>
<td>1.40E-6</td>
<td>1.40E-5</td>
<td>1.26E-5</td>
<td>1.28E-6</td>
<td>1.38E-5</td>
<td>6.76E-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>6.63E-7</td>
<td>8.51E-6</td>
<td>9.17E-6</td>
<td>6.63E-7</td>
<td>6.85E-6</td>
<td>7.52E-6</td>
<td>3.38E-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> from [2], “Elec Emissions”
Table 9. Alberta electricity mix profile and electricity generation and distribution efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Natural gas (Boiler)</th>
<th>Natural gas (Turbine)</th>
<th>Fuel oil</th>
<th>Coal</th>
<th>Hydro</th>
<th>Wind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contribution to Alberta electricity</td>
<td>9.78%</td>
<td>9.78%</td>
<td>0.93%</td>
<td>72.40%</td>
<td>3.53%</td>
<td>3.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel to electricity efficiency</td>
<td>33.17%</td>
<td>33.17%</td>
<td>26.10%</td>
<td>29.65%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity distribution efficiency</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a from [6], the average value of 2010-2012, and assume electricity generated by natural gas (boiler) and natural gas (turbine) share 50% of total natural gas generation, respectively.
b from [6], “Elec Emissions”
c from [2], “Elec Emissions”

Table 10. Alberta electricity generation emission factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Natural gas (Boiler) Upstream</th>
<th>Natural gas (Turbine) Upstream</th>
<th>Natural gas (Turbine) Downstream</th>
<th>Fuel oil Upstream</th>
<th>Fuel oil Downstream</th>
<th>Coal Upstream</th>
<th>Coal Downstream</th>
<th>Hydro Upstream</th>
<th>Hydro Downstream</th>
<th>Wind Upstream</th>
<th>Wind Downstream</th>
<th>AB electricity Mix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>2.03E-2</td>
<td>1.33E-1</td>
<td>1.53E-1</td>
<td>2.03E-2</td>
<td>1.21E-1</td>
<td>1.41E-1</td>
<td>5.86E-2</td>
<td>1.91E-1</td>
<td>2.50E-1</td>
<td>1.55E-2</td>
<td>2.82E-1</td>
<td>2.97E-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMOCs</td>
<td>8.17E-6</td>
<td>3.90E-6</td>
<td>1.21E-5</td>
<td>8.17E-6</td>
<td>6.30E-7</td>
<td>8.80E-6</td>
<td>1.43E-5</td>
<td>2.94E-6</td>
<td>1.72E-5</td>
<td>1.09E-6</td>
<td>2.69E-6</td>
<td>3.78E-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4</td>
<td>2.18E-4</td>
<td>2.57E-6</td>
<td>2.21E-4</td>
<td>2.18E-4</td>
<td>8.93E-6</td>
<td>2.27E-4</td>
<td>5.05E-4</td>
<td>2.17E-6</td>
<td>5.07E-4</td>
<td>1.02E-4</td>
<td>2.76E-6</td>
<td>1.05E-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1.71E-5</td>
<td>9.40E-5</td>
<td>1.11E-4</td>
<td>1.71E-5</td>
<td>8.51E-5</td>
<td>1.02E-4</td>
<td>3.44E-5</td>
<td>3.87E-5</td>
<td>7.31E-5</td>
<td>8.01E-6</td>
<td>3.45E-5</td>
<td>4.25E-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2O</td>
<td>4.67E-7</td>
<td>9.32E-8</td>
<td>5.60E-7</td>
<td>4.67E-7</td>
<td>3.11E-6</td>
<td>3.58E-6</td>
<td>1.11E-6</td>
<td>3.55E-8</td>
<td>1.14E-6</td>
<td>5.56E-7</td>
<td>1.18E-7</td>
<td>6.74E-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>1.25E-4</td>
<td>1.72E-4</td>
<td>2.97E-4</td>
<td>1.25E-4</td>
<td>1.82E-4</td>
<td>3.07E-4</td>
<td>1.71E-4</td>
<td>1.91E-4</td>
<td>3.62E-4</td>
<td>4.82E-6</td>
<td>8.21E-4</td>
<td>8.26E-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>1.60E-5</td>
<td>1.40E-6</td>
<td>1.74E-5</td>
<td>1.60E-5</td>
<td>1.28E-6</td>
<td>1.73E-5</td>
<td>1.16E-4</td>
<td>2.07E-4</td>
<td>3.23E-4</td>
<td>2.41E-6</td>
<td>4.21E-4</td>
<td>4.23E-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>8.47E-7</td>
<td>8.51E-6</td>
<td>9.35E-6</td>
<td>8.47E-7</td>
<td>6.85E-6</td>
<td>7.70E-6</td>
<td>5.78E-6</td>
<td>3.48E-5</td>
<td>4.05E-5</td>
<td>1.30E-6</td>
<td>5.83E-5</td>
<td>5.96E-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a from [2], “Elec Emissions”
3. Conversion

The emissions from conversion stage are contributed by the following processes:

- Upstream processes:

The production and delivery of materials, including HTL buffer agent Na$_2$CO$_3$, natural gas (NG) as heating fuel for anaerobic digestion (AD), hydrotreating catalyst NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$, hydrogen production catalyst NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$. Additionally, for Bo-DBR scenario, NG is required as feedstock for hydrogen production. Upstream emission factors are summarized in Table 11.

The production and delivery of energy, i.e., electricity. See Table 8 for BC electricity mix emission factors.

- Downstream processes:

The combustion of NG for heating AD, the combustion of biogas for heating HTL, hydrogen production via steam reforming using NG as feedstock (Bo-DBR scenario), hydrogen production via steam reforming using off-gases from HTL and hydrotreating as feedstock (Fr-CIR and Wp-CIR scenarios). Downstream emission factors are summarized in Table 12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>Na$_2$CO$_3$</th>
<th>NG</th>
<th>NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(HTL)</td>
<td>(AD)</td>
<td>(Hydrotreating)</td>
<td>(Hydrogen production)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>6.94E-01</td>
<td>6.55E-03</td>
<td>9.37E-01</td>
<td>3.35E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMOCs</td>
<td>9.63E-05</td>
<td>3.27E-06</td>
<td>6.53E-05</td>
<td>3.90E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>7.59E-04</td>
<td>1.49E-04</td>
<td>7.85E-04</td>
<td>7.81E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>5.40E-04</td>
<td>6.57E-06</td>
<td>3.28E-04</td>
<td>1.42E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$O</td>
<td>1.17E-06</td>
<td>2.15E-07</td>
<td>5.82E-06</td>
<td>5.29E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$</td>
<td>4.23E-04</td>
<td>3.92E-05</td>
<td>3.65E-04</td>
<td>2.37E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_x$</td>
<td>4.50E-04</td>
<td>5.37E-06</td>
<td>1.69E-04</td>
<td>3.42E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>1.72E-04</td>
<td>4.36E-07</td>
<td>6.72E-05</td>
<td>3.30E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* from [7], “Soda ash production for use in US” model, with US electricity mix changed to BC electricity mix
* from [7], “Mo/Ni spent catalyst-biobased” model, with US electricity mix changed to BC electricity mix
* from [7], “Mo/Ni spent catalyst-petrochemical” model, with US electricity mix changed to BC electricity mix
a from [2], “Upstream Results HHV | CNG, NG” model, also applied for NG as feedstock in Bo-DBR scenario

Table 12. Downstream emission factors of conversion stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>AD heatinga (NG as fuel)</th>
<th>HTL heatingb (biogas as fuel)</th>
<th>Hydrogen productionc (NG as feedstock)</th>
<th>Hydrogen productiond (off-gases as feedstock)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kg/MJ NG</td>
<td>kg/MJ biogas</td>
<td>kg/MJ NG</td>
<td>kg/MJ off-gases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>5.02E-02</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>5.02E-02</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMOCs</td>
<td>3.69E-06</td>
<td>3.69E-06</td>
<td>9.72E-06</td>
<td>9.72E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4</td>
<td>9.74E-07</td>
<td>9.74E-07</td>
<td>3.79E-07</td>
<td>3.79E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>3.56E-05</td>
<td>3.56E-05</td>
<td>7.58E-06</td>
<td>7.58E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2O</td>
<td>4.91E-07</td>
<td>4.91E-07</td>
<td>2.37E-07</td>
<td>2.37E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO2</td>
<td>6.19E-05</td>
<td>6.19E-05</td>
<td>1.90E-05</td>
<td>1.90E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO2</td>
<td>2.67E-07</td>
<td>2.67E-07</td>
<td>9.48E-08</td>
<td>9.48E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>3.22E-06</td>
<td>3.22E-06</td>
<td>2.84E-06</td>
<td>2.84E-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a from [2], “Equip Emis Factors | Industrial boiler | NG” model

b from [2], “Equip Emis Factors | Industrial boiler | NG” model. CO2 emission is modified to be 0 since the carbon in biogas is biogenic.

c from [2], “Equip Emis Factors | Hydrogen Production Plants | NG” model

d from [2], “Equip Emis Factors | Hydrogen Production Plants | NG” model. CO2 emission is modified to be 0 since the carbon in off-gases is biogenic.

4. Biofuels distribution and end use

The emissions from biofuel distribution stage are contributed by the operation of diesel-powered liquid tanker truck (distribution of gasoline, diesel and heavy oil) and electricity-powered pipeline (distribution of jet fuel). The upstream is associated with diesel and electricity production and delivery, and the downstream is related to diesel combustion in the truck engine. The upstream and downstream emission factors of diesel are the same as those presented in the biomass collection and transportation stage (see Table 2 and Table 4, respectively). The pipeline transportation consumes electricity from BC grid and the energy consumption for transporting 1 tonne of biofuel via pipeline for 1 km is 404 Btu [8], which is equivalent to 0.292 MJ/Tkm. The BC electricity mix emission factors are presented in Table 8.

The emissions from biofuels end use stage are associated with biofuels combustion in the
vehicle and airplane engines, which are summarized in Table 13 as follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>Gasoline(^a) kg/MJ</th>
<th>Jet(^b) kg/MJ</th>
<th>Diesel(^c) kg/MJ</th>
<th>Heavy oil(^d) kg/MJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO(_2)</td>
<td>2.87E-04</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>7.31E-04</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMOCs</td>
<td>4.07E-05</td>
<td>2.50E-04</td>
<td>7.15E-05</td>
<td>1.99E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH(_4)</td>
<td>3.28E-06</td>
<td>1.68E-06</td>
<td>3.79E-06</td>
<td>7.15E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1.64E-03</td>
<td>7.00E-06</td>
<td>7.71E-06</td>
<td>1.91E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_2)O</td>
<td>1.32E-06</td>
<td>1.90E-06</td>
<td>2.96E-06</td>
<td>1.99E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_2)</td>
<td>3.56E-05</td>
<td>2.50E-04</td>
<td>2.45E-05</td>
<td>1.79E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_x)</td>
<td>1.20E-06</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>2.07E-06</td>
<td>6.76E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>2.32E-06</td>
<td>2.50E-06</td>
<td>9.60E-07</td>
<td>3.58E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) from [2], “LDV Summ | Biomass Fuels, Gasoline, Wood Res” model. The emission factors are presented in unit of g/km originally, and they are converted to kg/MJ base by assuming that the energy intensity of light duty vehicle (LDV) is 2.21 MJ/(person*km) and average 3 persons are transported.

\(^b\) from [2], “Freight Emissions | Airplanes, BTL, Wood Res” model. The emission factors are presented in unit of g/Tkm originally, and they are converted to kg/MJ base by assuming that the energy intensity of airplane is 15 MJ/Tkm.

\(^c\) from [2], “HDV Summ | Biomass Fuels, FT Diesel, Wood Res” model. The emission factors are presented in unit of g/km originally, and they are converted to kg/MJ base by assuming that the fuel efficiency of heavy duty vehicle (HDV) is 40 L/100km and higher heating value of diesel is 38.65 MJ/L.

\(^d\) from [2], “Freight Emissions | Marine Liquids and Bulk Freight, Fuel oil (0.002% S), Crude oil” model. The emission factors are presented in unit of g/Tkm originally, and they are converted to kg/MJ base by assuming that the energy intensity of marine vessel is 60 KJ/Tkm. Besides, the CO\(_2\) emission in the original model is modified to be 0 as the carbon in biofuels are biogenic.

5. Biochar application

Biochar produced from HTL of forest residues as a by-product was assumed to be shipped out to a hypothetic farm for soil amendment. The emissions associated with the biochar application are transportation emissions, which use the same upstream and downstream emission factors as those described in Biomass collection and transportation stage (see Table 2 and Table 4). Meanwhile, the application of biochar can create greenhouse gas emissions reduction credit. According to the assumption made by Roberts et al. [9], 80% of the carbon in biochar can be viewed as stable sequestered carbon. Besides, N in biochar was assumed to displace the same
amount of nitrogen fertilizer, so the upstream emissions of nitrogen fertilizer can be avoided. Table 14 tabulates the emission factors associated with the production and delivery of nitrogen fertilizer.

Table 14. Nitrogen fertilizer production and delivery emission factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>kg/kg N fertilizer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>4.47E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMOCs</td>
<td>1.55E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>7.80E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>7.39E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>2.01E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>1.94E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOₓ</td>
<td>1.89E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>3.90E-03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a from [10], “Nitrogen fertilizer, as N {GLO}|market for |Alloc Def, S” model

Reference