Additional file 1. Fagan’s nomograms of pre-test and post-test probability of candidaemia according to BDG and PCT results. The pre-test and post-test probability of bacteraemia can be calculated as 1 minus the pre-test or post-test probability of candidaemia, respectively.

Panel a and b. BDG results available and PCT unknown

Panel c and d. PCT results available and BDG unknown
Legend. The likelihood ratio (LR) of candidemia according to the different combinations of tests results is expressed with its 95% confidence intervals (CI) in parentheses; BDG, (1,3)-β-D-glucan; PCT, procalcitonin. Solid and dotted lines indicate changes from pre-test to post-test probability of candidaemia according to the LR of the disease and its 95% CI, respectively, at the prevalence of candidaemia registered in our population (44%). Panels a and b show the influence of BDG results on the post-test probability of candidaemia independently of PCT results. It is worth noting that both BDG ≥ 80 pg/ml and BDG < 80 pg/ml considerably influenced
the post-test probabilities of the two diseases. A less marked but appreciable change in the post-test probability of candidaemia and bacteraemia was also observed when low (<2 ng/ml) or high (≥2 ng/ml) PCT results were considered independently of BDG (panels c and d). When the two markers were used in combination, the presence of concordant BDG and PCT results indicative of candidaemia (BDG ≥ 80 pg/ml and PCT < 2 ng/ml) had a greater impact in favouring the diagnosis of candidaemia compared to the two markers considered separately (panel e). In contrast, when BDG and PCT concordantly indicated bacteraemia (BDG < 80 pg/ml and PCT ≥ 2 ng/ml), their combined performance in favouring bacteraemia was very similar to that of BDG used alone (panel f). Finally, in the case of discordant BDG and PCT results, a positive or negative BDG only slightly altered the probabilities of candidaemia and bacteraemia when paired with discordant PCT values (panels g and h).