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BACKGROUND

Bronchiectasis is an under-researched respiratory disease, which is characterised by chronic, excessive sputum production and recurrent infection. Aetiologies include previous respiratory tract infection and immune defects. Until recently, it had been considered an ‘orphan disease’ but is now recognised as a disease of ‘growing importance’ worldwide; despite this, the evidence base is still poor. Globally, the prevalence of bronchiectasis is undetermined. It has been estimated that 110,000 people in the United States have bronchiectasis with an associated healthcare cost of US $630 million. In England and Wales, a recent study showed that approximately 1000 patients die from bronchiectasis annually, with a yearly increase of 3% from 2001; however this may be an under-estimation.

Little research exists for treatments in bronchiectasis and current guidelines are mainly based on expert consensus. Patients are commonly prescribed inhaled, nebulised and oral medications and airway clearance physiotherapy, resulting in a complex and time-consuming treatment regimen which is usually prescribed twice daily and takes 30-45 minutes to complete each time. Furthermore, preparation of nebulised antibiotics (tobramycin and Colomycin®) is complicated and requires patients to be able to prepare the drugs prior to nebulisation and appropriately clean the equipment after use. There is, therefore, a high treatment burden associated with bronchiectasis.

There are no published studies specifically examining treatment adherence in bronchiectasis. However, research in other respiratory diseases such as cystic fibrosis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma consistently report sub-optimal adherence which negatively impacts on health outcomes. There is no published data on predictors of adherence in bronchiectasis. However, data from other chronic diseases illustrate that it is a multi-faceted process which can be influenced by many different factors: patient-related, healthcare-related, socio-economic, therapy-related, condition-related.

Interventions are commonly used to influence behavioural change; such interventions are described as complex as they ‘contain several interacting components’ and their development requires a theoretical and evidence-based approach involving all key stakeholders. The Medical Research Council (MRC) has provided guidance on the
development and evaluation of complex interventions and states that there are four key elements: development, feasibility/piloting, evaluation and implementation. We have outlined below the five stages of ‘development’ we have completed, based on this guidance.

APPROACH TO INTERVENTION DEVELOPMENT

Stage 1: Identifying a need

**Aim:** We determined rates of adherence to treatment, the relationship between adherence and health outcomes, and predictors of adherence behaviour.

**Methods:** We completed a prospective study of 75 patients with bronchiectasis in which we monitored patients’ adherence to airway clearance and medications for bronchiectasis for one year. We measured adherence using medication possession ratios (MPR) for inhaled antibiotics and other respiratory medicines. Self-reported adherence was used for airway clearance. We used an 80% cut-off to define adherence. We measured quality of life using the Quality of Life Questionnaire-Bronchiectasis (QOL-B) and beliefs about treatment using the Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire (BMQ).

**Key findings:**

- 53% of patients were adherent to inhaled antibiotics and other respiratory medicines.
- 41% of patients were adherent to airway clearance.
- Only 16% were adherent to all prescribed treatments.
- Non-adherent patients had significantly more pulmonary exacerbations.
- Beliefs about treatment, age, treatment burden and quality of life predicted adherence to treatment.

**Conclusion:** We established that there was a need to change adherence behaviour in bronchiectasis and had identified potentially modifiable factors that could be targeted in a future intervention.
Stage 2: Understanding what influences patients’ adherence behaviour and identifying potential ways of changing this behaviour

Aim: We aimed to explore what influenced patients’ adherence behaviour and identify potential components of an adherence intervention for bronchiectasis.

Methods: We completed semi-structured interviews with 16 patients with bronchiectasis. We transcribed interviews verbatim and analysed them using the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF). The TDF is a framework of 12 domains, which was developed by experts in health psychology to link behaviour to psychological theories.19 We coded all of the content of the interview transcripts to these domains and as a team, reached consensus on which domains were the most important. Following the identification of the important domains, three members of the team independently mapped the domains to a set of behaviour change techniques (BCTs).20,21 BCTs are ‘an observable, replicable, and irreducible component of an intervention designed to alter or redirect causal processes that regulate behavior; that is, a technique is proposed to be an “active ingredient” (e.g., feedback, self-monitoring, and reinforcement). BCTs can be used alone or in combination and in a variety of formats.’

Key findings:

- 8 domains were identified as influencing patient adherence behaviour: knowledge, skills, beliefs about capabilities, beliefs about consequences, motivation, social influences, behavioural regulation and nature of behaviour.
- 23 BCTs were identified that could potentially change patient adherence behaviour.

Conclusion: We have identified what influences patients’ adherence behaviour and have identified a list of components that could be used as part of an adherence intervention in this population.
Stage 3: Understanding healthcare professionals’ perspectives on patient adherence behaviour

**Aim:** We aimed to identify healthcare professionals’ views on adherence in bronchiectasis including methods for improving adherence in this population and healthcare professionals’ challenges in managing adherence in bronchiectasis.

**Methods:** We completed focus groups and interviews with 46 primary and secondary care healthcare professionals from across Northern Ireland. We transcribed interviews verbatim and analysed them using the TDF and identified BCTs as described in Stage 2.

**Key findings:**

- 8 domains were identified as influencing healthcare professionals’ ability to manage patient adherence behaviour: knowledge, skills, beliefs about capabilities, beliefs about consequences, motivation, social influences, behavioural regulation and nature of behaviour.
- 26 BCTs were identified that could potentially change healthcare professional's ability to manage adherence.

**Conclusion:** We have identified what affects healthcare professionals’ ability to manage patients’ adherence, which could be used to develop a training package for healthcare professionals to facilitate them to deliver a behaviour change intervention for patients.

Stage 4: Identifying the existing evidence base for adherence interventions in chronic respiratory disease

**Aim:** To identify components of effective adherence interventions in chronic respiratory disease.

**Methods:** We completed a systematic review. We searched the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, International Pharmaceutical Abstracts, PsycINFO, Sociological abstracts and PEDro (using disease-specific and adherence search terms) from their inception until February 2013. Randomised controlled trials comparing any adherence intervention to another adherence intervention, no intervention
or usual care in adults (18 years or older), with a clinical diagnosis of chronic respiratory
disease based on the Cochrane Airways Group Trials register (asthma, bronchiectasis,
chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [COPD], allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis
[ABPA], interstitial lung disease, sleep apnea) plus cystic fibrosis were included. Two review
authors independently reviewed returned articles, extracted data and assessed risk of bias
using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool. We completed a narrative synthesis of included
studies.

**Key findings:**

- 51 studies were included in the final review and most studies had a high risk of bias.
- 16 studies improved adherence (measured by objective measures) and 14 studies
did not improve adherence (measured by objective measures).
- 8 (50%) studies deemed to be effective interventions that were developed using
  psychological theory. Only 3 studies (21%) which deemed interventions ineffective
  used psychological theory in their development.
- Effective interventions contained multiple components and generally included an
  information component alongside multiple other practical components such as goal
  setting, problem-solving, feedback and action planning.
- Ineffective interventions tended to have fewer components than those deemed
effective and often focused on information transfer without practical strategies to
alter adherence behaviour.
- Effective interventions were more tailored to the individual.
- There was no clear evidence for the most effective way to deliver these
  interventions in terms of format, mode of delivery or healthcare context.

**Conclusion:** Interventions which are underpinned by psychological theory, use multiple
practical components that go beyond education and can be tailored to the individual appear
to be the most effective in changing adherence behaviour in chronic respiratory disease.
**Stage 5: Triangulation of the evidence from Stage 1-4**

**Aim:** We sought to bring together all of the data from the previous four stages to develop a final list of BCTs and bronchiectasis-specific examples for these BCTs to form a draft patient adherence intervention.

**Method:** We reviewed the BCTs identified in Stage 2 and 3. We ranked these BCTs using a published scoring system. We reached consensus within the team about which BCTs should be included in the final patient list and final healthcare professional list based on these scores and data collected in the systematic review. From these two lists, we developed a single list of BCTs which were common across the two groups. These lists of BCTs did not contain specific content for patients with bronchiectasis and their healthcare professionals. We generated bronchiectasis-specific content using data collected during Stage 2 and 3.

**Key findings:**

- 12 common BCTs were identified e.g. ‘Persuasive communication’
- 12 bronchiectasis-specific examples were generated e.g. ‘Expert patient or lead consultant endorses the importance of adherence to airway clearance’

**Conclusion:** We have developed a draft bronchiectasis-specific adherence intervention containing 12 BCTs. Input is now needed from experts to determine how this intervention can be delivered to patients by healthcare professionals.
**RESEARCH OUTPUTS**

Full publications for these studies are in preparation. Preliminary findings have been presented at numerous local, national and international conferences:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conference</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Location and year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Thoracic Society</td>
<td>Poster discussion</td>
<td>San Diego, May 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Thoracic Society</td>
<td>Invited speaker</td>
<td>Londonderry, November 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulster Society of Internal Medicine</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Belfast, October 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Pharmaceutical Society</td>
<td>Poster</td>
<td>Birmingham, Sept 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation and Therapy Research Society</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>University of Ulster, June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane in Ireland</td>
<td>Poster</td>
<td>Belfast, January 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Thoracic Society</td>
<td>Poster</td>
<td>London, December 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Thoracic Society</td>
<td>Poster</td>
<td>London, December 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Society of Chartered Physiotherapists</td>
<td>Poster</td>
<td>Mullingar, November 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Ireland Pharmacy Conference</td>
<td>Poster</td>
<td>Dublin, April 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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