SUPPLEMENTAL METHODS

Subcongenic Analysis

Analyses were performed on 2, 3, 6 and 9 week body weights, NA, NT, tail and femur lengths, and liver, kidney, spleen, muscle, brain, TF, GFP, RFP, FFP and MFP weights. Phenotypes were classified as body weight, body size, organs and body fat measurements. Only body fat will be described here as most emphasis was placed on this phenotype. Other measurements were kept for further analysis.

Each subcongenic was analyzed for all phenotypes to determine the effect of CAST alleles in the donor region. Assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variances were tested with a Shapiro-Wilk and Levene’s tests, respectively; and were visually inspected with histograms and box plots for data errors. Major deviations from normality were not observed in the phenotypic data, thus raw data was used. Phenotypic data (y) from each subcongenic was first fitted to a general linear model that accounted simultaneously for additive (a) and dominance (d) genotype effects, sex effects, sex by additive (sex×a) and sex by dominance (sex×d) genotype interactions, and correction with additive covariates (cov), e.g. Sex, SAC, Litter Size, Maternal Mating Weight, (Model 1). Interactions and covariates that were not significant were excluded from the model. Simple effects were analyzed if sex×a or sex×d interactions were significant (p ≤ 0.05).

This model contained sex as a dummy variable (Female=1; Male=0), a and d were estimated by multiple linear regression using PROC GLM/Solution statement of SAS® v9.1.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary NC), where a is the regression coefficients for the additive genotype effects of non recombinant subcongenic mice, and d is the dominance deviation from the mid parent. To estimate a, genotypes were assigned quantitatively as –1 for homozygous b6/b6, 0 for heterozygous b6/cast, and 1 for homozygous cast/cast genotypes (defined as g1 in the model) (HG mice are on a C57BL/6J background, genotypes for HG background are denoted as b6, and...
CAST alleles as *cast*. Similarly, to estimate *d*, genotypes were assigned quantitatively as 0 and 1 for homozygous and heterozygous genotypes, respectively (defined as *g*₂ in the model). In this case, *a* indicates the average effect of an allele substitution and *d* the deviation from the mid-parent of the heterozygous genotype (Falconer and Mackay 1996).

\[ y_{ijkl} = sex_i + a(g_{ij}) + d(g_{2j}) + sex_i \times a(g_{ij}) + sex_i \times d(g_{2j}) + covariates_k + e_{ijkl} \]  \hspace{1cm} (Model 1)

After eliminating non significant interactions from the full model or *Model 1* each phenotype was analyzed with one of three models; *Model 2, Model 3 and Model 4*. To declare a significant genotype effect, p-values were adjusted with a Bonferroni correction for 5 comparisons to maintain an experimental error rate of 0.05. Thus, significant genotype effects were called with \( p \leq 0.01 \).

\[ y_{ijl} = sex_i + a(g_{ij}) + d(g_{2j}) + e_{ijl} \]  \hspace{1cm} (Model 2)

\[ y_{ijkl} = sex_i + a(g_{ij}) + d(g_{2j}) + covariates_k + e_{ijkl} \]  \hspace{1cm} (Model 3)

\[ y_{jkl} = a(g_{1j}) + d(g_{2j}) + covariates_k + e_{jkl} \]  \hspace{1cm} ([sexes, i analyzed separately])  \hspace{1cm} (Model 4)

Total Fat was analyzed using SAC and *sex* × *sac* as covariates (Lang et al. 2005; Stylianou et al. 2006); however both models had similar *a* and *d* effects of CAST alleles without changes in significance (data not shown). GFP, RFP, FFP and MFP were also corrected for *sex* × *sac* to maintain similar analysis of the components of TF. 6wk BW, 9wk BW and were corrected for 2wk BW or 2wk BW*sex. Other covariates that account for non-genetic factors, such as Litter Size (LS) and Dam’s Mating Weight (MTW), were used only to analyze 6wk and 9wk BW in the
HG2D-4 strain only. Liver, Spleen, and Heart were corrected for SAC. Statistical procedures for subcongenic analyses were performed using the GLM procedure in SAS® v.9.1.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary NC).

REFERENCES