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OBJECTIVE

The study was designed to compare the rate and extent of absorption and safety of Coartem® tablets (containing Artemether 20 mg and Lumefantrine 120 mg) of Novartis Pharmaceuticals Limited, EU with Co-artesiane dry powder for suspension (containing β-Artemether 360 mg and Lumefantrine 2160 mg in 45.6 g dry powder for suspension of 120 ml) of Dafra Pharma NV, Belgium in 42 + 6 healthy adult human subjects.

METHODS

Number of Subjects Recruited and Completed:

Total 42 (+ 6) healthy adult human subjects who met all inclusion and none of the exclusion criteria were recruited in the trial. 46 subjects completed the study. During period I, one subject was dropped from the study before dosing, due to violation of laboratory rules and a second subject did not report to the centre during check-in of period I. Samples for all the 46 subjects were analysed and data of 42 subjects is reported.

Diagnosis and main criteria for inclusion:

**Diagnosis:** The clinical safety was evaluated by recording the ECG, vital signs and adverse events. The laboratory safety was evaluated by recording routine laboratory tests including biochemistry, haematology and urine analysis before and after the study for all recruited subjects.

**Main criteria for inclusion:** Healthy adult human subjects within 18-45 years of age (inclusive).

Test Product, dose and mode of administration, batch number:

**Test Product:** Co-artesiane (Artemether and Lumefantrine) dry powder for suspension

**Dose:** 53 ml of the suspension to obtain a dose of 160 mg Artemether and 960 mg Lumefantrine

**Mode of Administration:** Oral (administered with 240 mL of water)

**Batch No.:** 15099
Reference Product, dose and mode of administration, batch number:
Reference Product: Coartem® (Artemether and Lumefantrine) tablets Dose: 8
Tablets to obtain a dose of 160 mg Artemether and 960 mg Lumefantrine Mode of Administration: Oral (administered with 240 mL of water) Batch No.: X0372

Duration of treatment:
A gap of 21 days was maintained between Period I and Period II dosing.

Analytical Methodology:
Artemether and its metabolite and Lumefantrine from plasma were quantified using a validated LC-MS/MS method.

Criteria for evaluation:
Primary Pharmacokinetic Parameters: $C_{\text{max}}$, $AUC_{0-216}$ and $AUC_{0-\text{inf}}$, were evaluated for % Ratio and 90% CI from Log transformed data. Secondary Pharmacokinetic Parameters: $T_{\text{max}}$, $k_{\text{el}}$ and $t_{1/2}$ were evaluated for % Ratio.

Statistical Method:
ANOVA, two one-sided tests for bioequivalence, 90% CI and ratio analysis for untransformed and log-transformed pharmacokinetic parameters $C_{\text{max}}$, $AUC_{0-216}$ and $AUC_{0-\text{inf}}$ were performed.
Primary Pharmacokinetic Parameters:

**ARTEMETHER**

% Ratio of Untransformed Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Parameter</th>
<th>Test Product</th>
<th>Reference Product</th>
<th>% Ratio of Test to Reference Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL)</td>
<td>197.96</td>
<td>201.73</td>
<td>98.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{AUC}_{0-216}$ (ng x hr/mL)</td>
<td>846.252</td>
<td>851.140</td>
<td>99.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{AUC}_{0-\text{inf}}$ (ng x hr/mL)</td>
<td>855.577</td>
<td>860.945</td>
<td>99.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

90 % Confidence Interval from Log Transformed Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacokinetic Parameters</th>
<th>Acceptance Criteria</th>
<th>% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Ln}C_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>80-125%</td>
<td>92.57 - 107.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Ln}\text{AUC}_{0-216}$</td>
<td>80-125%</td>
<td>90.87 - 110.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Ln}\text{AUC}_{0-\text{inf}}$</td>
<td>80-125%</td>
<td>90.98 - 109.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION: Based on the above data, the Test Product meets the criteria for bioequivalence (primary pharmacokinetic parameters were within acceptance criteria of 80 to 125%), when compared with Reference Product with respect to Artemether.
% Ratio of Untransformed Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Parameter</th>
<th>Test Product</th>
<th>Reference Product</th>
<th>% Ratio of Test to Reference Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ ($\mu g/mL$)</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>107.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{AUC}_{0-216}$ ($\mu g \times hr/mL$)</td>
<td>283.987</td>
<td>263.580</td>
<td>107.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{AUC}_{0-\text{inf}}$ ($\mu g \times hr/mL$)</td>
<td>285.810</td>
<td>266.236</td>
<td>107.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

90% Confidence Interval from Log Transformed Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacokinetic Parameters</th>
<th>Acceptance Criteria</th>
<th>% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Ln}C_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>80-125%</td>
<td>96.46 - 119.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{LnAUC}_{0-216}$</td>
<td>80-125%</td>
<td>95.54 - 118.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{LnAUC}_{0-\text{inf}}$</td>
<td>80-125%</td>
<td>95.17 - 117.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION: Based on the above data, the Test Product meets the criteria for bioequivalence (primary pharmacokinetic parameters were within acceptance criteria of 80 to 125%), when compared with reference product with respect to Lumefantrine.
Secondary Pharmacokinetic Parameters:

**ARTEMETHER**

![ARTEMETHER Table]

**LUMEFANTRINE**

![LUMEFANTRINE Table]

Safety Parameters:

No major abnormalities or variations were reported due to test or reference medication in subject clinical and laboratory parameters. The overall conclusion was that both the medications at said dose are safe for administration.