Compliance to routine screening and follow-up recommendations (baseline assumption vs. perfect compliance)

Routine screening attendance rate (-10% vs. +10%)†:

Follow-up screening attendance rate (-10% vs. +10%)†:

Medicare reimbursement rate (85% vs. 100%):

Age-standardised progression rate of CIN3 to undetected localised cancer (0.65% vs. 2.6% p.a):

Precancerous lesion treatment cost (-50% vs. +20%):

Cytology test characteristics (alternative sets of TPMs):

Localised, regional and distant cervical cancer diagnosis and treatment costs (-20% vs. +20%):

Cytology unsatisfactory rate (1.1% vs. 4.4%):

Colpsocopy referral compliance rate (-10% vs. +10%):

Colpsocopy unsatisfactory rate (-20% vs. +20%):

Localised cancer treatment cost (25% of FIGO 1a1 was assumed to treat by hysterectomy and 75% was assumed to treat by conisation vs. baseline assumption):

Colpsocopy accuracy (baseline assumption vs. perfect accuracy on CIN):